

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/845,498	05/01/2001	Noribumi Koitabashi	684.3183	2379
5514	7590 09/08/2004		EXAM	INER
	CK CELLA HARPER	NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, THINH H	
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1.277 10144,			2861	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		▶				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/845,498	KOITABASHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thinh H Nguyen	2861				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH ute, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-final.					
	,					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-9,13-15,17-19,21,23,24,28-31 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-9,13-15,17-19,21,23,24,28-31 6) ☐ Claim(s) 34,35 and 39-42 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration. 1 <u>,45,46 and 48-50</u> is/are allow					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 January 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	-,,	` '				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		• • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appliority documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/845,498 Page 2

Art Unit: 2861

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 34-35, 39-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murcia et al. in view of Hermanson. (U.S. 5,581,284)

Murcia et al. teach the instant claimed printing apparatus and method of correcting (characterized by correction module 76) and compensating nozzles failure except for printing the black ink in substitution for the non-operative nozzles corresponding to non-black color recording ink.

Hermanson teaches detecting the problem nozzle and compensating the missing dot corresponding to the problem nozzle with ink of different color. For example, black ink can be filled in for missing cyan or magenta droplets (col.5, lines 18-23). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the missing non-black color ink with the black color ink in Murcia et al. as taught by Hermanson for preventing the missing spot and providing adequate printing quality.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-3,5-9,13-15,17-19,21,23,24,28-31,45,46 and 48-50 are allowed.

Response to Amendment

4. Applicant's Amendment filed June 10, 2004 has been entered and carefully considered.

Applicant contends (page 13-14) that Hermanson as combined failed to teach/suggest effecting the plurality of supplementing recording with a recording element for black color recording, for a recording position corresponding to the non-operating recording elements for non-black color recording as recited in claims 34, 39.

The Examiner agreed that Hermanson discloses compensating missing black ink with either cyan or cyan and magenta, or process black ink. However, the reading at column 5, lines 18-23 does not purportedly implicated that that missing cyan or magenta droplets will be replaced by black process ink droplet. In fact, column 2, lines 27-28, Hermanson clearly stated that black ink may be used to fill in missing cyan or magenta droplets.

In view of the foregoing reasons, the examiner asserts that all limitations have been properly evaluated and that the rejection as applied remains proper.

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION

Application/Control Number: 09/845,498 Page 4

Art Unit: 2861

FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Thinh Nguyen at telephone number (571) 272-2257. The examiner can generally be reached Mon-Wed, Friday from 9:00A – 5:00P. Thursday at telephone number (571) 440-1447. The official fax phone number for the organization is (703) 872-9306.

The examiner supervisor, Stephen Meier, can also be reached at (571) 272-2149.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

Thinh Nauvon

Thinh Nguyen

August 31, 2004

Thinh Nguyen
Primary Examiner
Technology Center 2800